Hiring

In-House vs. Outsourcing Recruiting: A Side-by-Side Comparison Explore the key differences and benefits of each approach to help you make an informed decision.

In House

Outsourcing

Tends to increase the cost of recruitment and training. You have to spend time and resources sifting through resumes, interviewing, and performing background checks.



It will save you time and money during the hiring process as well as reduce turnover costs.

If you're hiring only a few times a year, you will not have the best recruiting strategies to bank on. This can increase the risk of hiring.



You can lower the hiring risk by taking advantage of the temp-to-hire hiring model.

In house recruiters have limited access to good potential candidates and industry insights.



Have a unique experience of working across various industries and expertise in finding the right people.

The core expertise is not sourcing and selection, searchnig for the right talent might take time.



Have trained professionals that hire round the clock and can bring the right talent to the table quickly.

The reach to good potential talent is limited.



Outsourcing recruitment to agencies with experience can give access to a bigger pool of talent.

You may not necessarily have an individual with expertise in negotiation.



Are trained to get you the best talent while maintaining the recruiting budget.

Core business is not recruitment so you may not be using the best available tools and technologies for hiring.



The core business is to hire, they use and have access to the best available tools and technologies.

In-house teams may struggle to scale quickly, facing challenges with resource limitations and slow adjustments during peak hiring periods.



Staffing agencies can easily scale with your needs, providing quick access to talent and adjusting to fluctuating demands without extra internal resources.